

Notice of Meeting:

I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Dunedin City Council will be held on:

Date: Tuesday 7 December 2021
Time: 1.00 pm
Venue: Edinburgh Room, Municipal Chambers – Members
Audio Visual Link – Members of the Public

Sandy Graham
Chief Executive Officer

Council
PUBLIC AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Mayor
Deputy Mayor

Mayor Aaron Hawkins
Cr Christine Garey

Members

Cr Sophie Barker	Cr David Benson-Pope
Cr Rachel Elder	Cr Doug Hall
Cr Carmen Houlahan	Cr Marie Laufiso
Cr Mike Lord	Cr Jim O'Malley
Cr Jules Radich	Cr Chris Staynes
Cr Lee Vandervis	Cr Steve Walker
Cr Andrew Whiley	

Senior Officer

Sandy Graham, Chief Executive Officer

Governance Support Officer

Lynne Adamson

Lynne Adamson
Governance Support Officer

Telephone: 03 477 4000
Lynne.Adamson@dcc.govt.nz
www.dunedin.govt.nz

The meeting will be live streamed on the Council's You Tube Page: <https://youtu.be/AgWarmpvfGg>

COUNCIL

7 December 2021

Note: *Reports and recommendations contained in this agenda are not to be considered as Council policy until adopted.*

ITEM	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1	Public Forum	4
2	Apologies	4
3	Confirmation of Agenda	4
4	Declaration of Interest	5
REPORTS		
5	DCC Submission on Te kawē i te haepapa para, Taking Responsibility for Our Waste	19
6	Warrant Cards 2020/21	41
NOTICE OF MOTION		
7	Notice of Motion - Public Transport	48
RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC		49

1 PUBLIC FORUM

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

2 APOLOGIES

An apology has been received from Cr Christine Garey.

That the Council:

Accepts the apology from Cr Christine Garey.

3 CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA

Note: Any additions must be approved by resolution with an explanation as to why they cannot be delayed until a future meeting.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Members are reminded of the need to stand aside from decision-making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.
2. Elected members are reminded to update their register of interests as soon as practicable, including amending the register at this meeting if necessary.
3. Staff members are reminded to update their register of interests as soon as practicable.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Council:

- a) **Notes/Amends** if necessary the Elected Members' Interest Register attached as Attachment A; and
- b) **Confirms/Amends** the proposed management plan for Elected Members' Interests.
- c) **Notes** the Executive Leadership Team Members' Interests.

Attachments

	Title	Page
A	Councillor Register of Interest	7
B	ELT Register of Interest	16

Councillor Register of Interest - Current as at 23 November 2021				
Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
Aaron Hawkins	Trustee	West Harbour Beautification Trust	Potential conflict WHBT work with Parks and Reserves to co-ordinate volunteer activities	Withdrawal from all West Harbour Beautification Trust/ DCC discussions involving this relationship.
	Trustee	St Paul's Cathedral Foundation	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Owner	Residential Property Owner - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Thank You Payroll	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	ICLEI Oceania Regional Executive	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Hospital Local Advisory Group	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Green Party	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Connecting Dunedin (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Board Member	Otago Museum Trust Board (Council appointment)	Duties to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office. Recipient of Council funding	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Otago Theatre Trust (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Otago Polytech's Research Centre of Excellence	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	LGNZ National Council	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	Alexander McMillan Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	Cosy Homes Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chair	LGNZ Policy Advisory Group	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Local Government New Zealand Zone 6 Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Sophie Barker	Director	Ayrmed Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Ocho Newco Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Various publicly listed companies	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Property Owner	Residential Property Owner - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Beneficiary	Sans Peur Trust (Larnach Castle)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chairperson	Dunedin Heritage Fund Trust (Council appointment)	Duty to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Otago Settlers Association (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Vegetable Growers Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Committee Member	Otago Anniversary Day Dinner	No conflict Identified	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Dunedin Gas Works Museum Trust (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
David Benson-Pope	Owner	Residential Property Ownership in Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee and Beneficiary	Blind Investment Trusts	Duty to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Yellow-eyed Penguin Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	New Zealand Labour Party	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Heritage Fund Trust (Council appointment)	Duty to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Connecting Dunedin (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Tertiary Precinct Planning Group (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Delegation holder	Second Generation District Plan (2GP) Authority to Resolve Appeals on behalf of Council (Council appointment)	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Hospital Local Advisory Group	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Otago Regional Transport Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Commissioner (Community Representative)	District Licensing Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Rachel Elder	Owner	Residential Property Ownership - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Greater South Dunedin Action Group	Decisions may be considered on the future of South Dunedin.	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Host Parent	Otago Girls High School	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Advisor/Support Capacity	Kaffelologic	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Trails Networks Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chair	Southern Urban Dunedin Community Response Group	Decisions about emergency response recovery may be conflicted	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Craigieburn Reserve Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Keep Dunedin Beautiful (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Okia Reserve Management Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Toitu Otago Settlers Museum Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chairperson	Disabilitiy Issues Advisory Group	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Christine Garey	Trustee	Garey Family Trust - Property Ownership - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Chair	Creative Dunedin Partnership (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Symphony Orchestra Foundation Board of Trustees (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Theomin Gallery Management Committee (Olveston) (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Chair	Grants Subcommittee (Council Appointment)	No conflict identified	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
		External family member is a Principal Security Consultant	Major supplier to DCC	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Local Government New Zealand Zone 6 Committee (Council Appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Doug Hall	Director/Owner	Hall Brothers Transport Ltd	May contract and provide service to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek prior approval from Office of the Auditor General when required.
	Director/Owner	Dunedin Crane Hire	May contract and provide service to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek prior approval from Office of the Auditor General when required.
	Director/Owner	Wood Recyclers Ltd	May contract and provide service to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek prior approval from Office of the Auditor General when required.
	Director/Owner	Dunedin Concrete Crushing Ltd	May contract and provide service to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek prior approval from Office of the Auditor General when required.
	Director/Owner	Anzide Properties Ltd - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	The Woodshed 2014 Limited	May contract and provide service to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek prior approval from Office of the Auditor General when required.
	Owner	Property Ownership - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Farmlands	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Ravensdown Fertiliser	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	PGG Wrightson	Currently no likely conflict	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Silver Fern Farms	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	Valley View Development Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Geekfix Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Milburn Processing Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Donor of the use of a building free of charge to the group	Fire Brigade Restoration Society	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Appellant	2GP	Appellant to the 2GP	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Financial Donor	Dunedin North Community Patrol	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Donor of the use of a building free of charge to the group	North Dunedin Blokes Shed	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Partner	Highland Helicopters	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Supplier	Southweight Truck and Weights for testing Weighbridges Otago & Southland	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Craigieburn Reserve Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Chinese Garden Advisory Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Toitu Otago Settlers Museum Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Carmen Houlahan	Owner	Residential Property - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Owner	Rental Property - North Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Part Owner	Adobe Group Ltd, Wanaka	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Rotary Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Institute of Directors	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Otago Property Investors Association	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Company Owner/Sole Director	Shelf Company - RU There	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Startup Business	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Toitu Otago Settlers Museum Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Public Art Gallery Acquisitions Committee (Council appointment)	Possible grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Dunedin Public Art Gallery Society (Council appointment)	Possible grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Mosguel Taieri Community Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Marie Laufiso	Property Owner	Residential Property	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	Community Building Trust - Trust Owner of Property 111 Moray Place	Duty to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Board Member	Otago Mental Health Support Trust	Potential grants applicant which would result in pecuniary interest. Duty to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office	Do not participate in consideration of grants applications. If the meeting is in confidential, to leave the room.
	Secretary	Brockville Improvements and Amenities Society (BIAS)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	Corso Ōtepoti Dunedin Trust	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Dunedin Manufacturing Holdings Inc	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	National Communications Officer	P.A.C.I.F.I.C.A Inc	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Dunedin Branch Treasurer	P.A.C.I.F.I.C.A Inc	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Dunedin Branch delegate to Arai Te Uru Marae Council	P.A.C.I.F.I.C.A Inc	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Green Party of Aotearoa New Zealand	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Age Concern (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Abrahamic Interfaith Group (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chair	Dunedin Refugee Steering Group (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Fair Trading Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Deputy Chair	Grants Subcommittee	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chair	Social Well Being Advisory Group (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Mike Lord	Trustee	ML Lord Family Trust - Owner of Residential Properties - Dunedin	Duty to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Fonterra	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Federated Farmers	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Mosgiel Rotary Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Mosgiel RSA	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	National Party	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chairperson	Federated Farmers Charitable Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Various publicly listed companies	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chairperson	Otago Rural Support Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	Otago Youth Adventure Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Strath Taieri Community Board (Council Appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Hereweka Harbour Cone Trust (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	District Licensing Committee (Council Appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Jim O'Malley	Owner	Biocentrix Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Mosgiel Association Football Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Ocho Newco Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Owner	Residential Property Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Owner	Ayrmed Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Ice Sports Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Manufacturing Holdings	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Ice Sports Dunedin Incorporated (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Connecting Dunedin (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Okia Reserve Management Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Tertiary Precinct Planning Group (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Waikouaiti Coast Community Board (Council Appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Jules Radich	Shareholder	Izon Science Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Taurikura Drive Investments Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Golden Block Developments Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Cambridge Terrace Properties Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	Southern Properties (2007) Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Arrenway Drive Investments Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Golden Centre Holdings Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	IBMS Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	Raft Holdings Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	Otago Business Coaching Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Effectivise Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Athol Street Investments Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	Allandale Trustee Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Aberdeen St No2 Ltd	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Public Art Gallery Acquisitions Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Public Art Gallery Society (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Road Safety Action Plan	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	100% Shareholder/Director	Panorama Developments Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Member	Tertiary Precinct Planning Group (Council appointment - alternate)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Saddle Hill Community Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Chris Staynes	Chairman	Cargill Enterprises	Contractor and service provider to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room.
	Director	Wine Freedom	Supplier to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room.
	Patron	Otago Model Engineering Society	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Balmacewen Lions Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	Otago Southland Manufacturers Association Trust	Possible co-funder of ED project. Duties to the Trust may conflict with duties of Council	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Life Member	Otago Chamber of Commerce	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Deputy Chair	Cancer Society of Otago/Southland	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Board Member	NZ Cancer Society	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Patearoa Golf Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	President	Balmacewen Lions	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Trustee	CJ and CA Staynes Family Trust - Property Owner - Dunedin and Patearoa	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	George Street Wines Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director/Shareholder	Saddle Hill Investment Trust Limited	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	NZ Association of Amateur Radio and Transmitters	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Board Member	Otago Museum Trust Board (Council appointment)	Duties to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office. Recipient of Council funding	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Trustee	Theomin Gallery Trust (Council appointment)	Duties to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office. Recipient of Council funding	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Chairman	Grow Dunedin Partnership (Council appointment)	Duties may conflict with duties of Council Office. Recipient of Council funding	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Dunedin Shanghai Association (Sister City Society) (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Trustee	For Trades Apprenticeship Training Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Social Well Being Advisory Group (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Local Government New Zealand Zone 6 Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Lee Vandervis	Director	Lee Vandervis, Antonie Alm-Lequeux and Cook Allan Gibson Trustee Company Ltd - Residential Property Ownership - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Director	Bunchy Properties Ltd - Residential Property Ownership - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Owner	Vandervision Audio and Lighting - Hire, Sales and Service Business	May contract and provide service to DCC	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
Steve Walker	Chairperson	Dunedin Wildlife Hospital Trust	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Chairperson	West Harbour Beautification Trust	Potential conflict WHBT work with Parks and Reserves to co-ordinate volunteer activities	Withdrawal from all West Harbour Beautification Trust/ DCC discussions involving this relationship.
	Member	Orokonui Ecosanctuary	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Port Chalmers Golf Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Keep New Zealand Beautiful	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Society of Beer Advocates	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	New Zealand Labour Party	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Port Chalmers Historical Society	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Owner	Residential Property - Dunedin	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Shareholder	Various publicly listed companies	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	NZ Sea Lion Trust	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Edinburgh Sister City Society (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Connecting Dunedin (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Te Ao Turoa Partnership (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Keep Dunedin Beautiful (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Tertiary Precinct Planning Group (Council appointment - alternate)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	West Harbour Community Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Andrew Whiley	Owner/Operator	Whiley Golf Inc and New Zealand Golf Travel Ltd	No conflict identified	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Director/Shareholder 22 May 2017	Estate of Grace Limited	No conflict identified	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Trustee	Japek (Family Trust) - Property Ownership - Dunedin	Duties to Trust may conflict with duties of Council Office.	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Otago Golf Club	No conflict identified	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Dunedin South Rotary Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Board Member	New Zealand Professional Golfers Assn	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Institute of Directors	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Name	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	Member	National Party	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Chairman	Volunteering Otago	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Otaru Sister City Society (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Dunedin Public Art Gallery Society (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Grow Dunedin Partnership (Council appointment - alternate)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	NZ Masters Games Trust Board (Council appointment)	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Acting Chair	Dunedin Community House Executive Committee	Potential grants recipient	Withdraw from discussion and leave the table. If the meeting is in confidential, leave the room. Seek advice prior to the meeting.
	Member	Puketai Residential Centre Liaison Committee (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Otago Property Investors Association	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Chisholm Links Golf Club	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	Member	Otago Peninsula Community Board (Council appointment)	No conflict identified	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Executive Leadership Team - Register of Interest - current as at 17 September 2021					
Name	Date of Entry	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
Sandy Graham		Owner	Residential property Dunedin	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	19/09/2018	Trustee	Trustee of the Taieri Airport Facilities Trust	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	25/07/2019	Member	Otago Golf Club	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Simon Pickford		Owner	Residential property, Dunedin	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	16/08/2017	Member	SOLGM Regulatory Reference Group	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	21/02/2020	Wife	Owens residential properties, Dunedin	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	18/09/2020	Member	Kotui Board	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
John Christie		Wife is a member	Taieri Community Facilities Trust	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Investor/Director	Saddle Hill Investment Trust	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Shareholder	Clocktower	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Owner	Residential Properties Mosgiel	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	15/09/2017	Trustee	Diversity Works NZ	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	09/07/2018	Member	Society of Local Government Managers	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	19/02/2020	Daughter is a member	Youth Council	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Simon Drew		Trustee	Sister Cities New Zealand	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Owner	Residential property Dunedin	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Chartered Member	Engineering New Zealand	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Judge	ACENZ (Association of Consulting Engineers NZ) Innovate Awards Judge	ACENZ have own conflict of interest policies.	Would not be allowed to judge a DCC project.
	17/04/2019	Member	Society of Local Government Managers	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Robert West		Member	South Coast Builders engaged to carry out work on property	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	17/04/2019				
Gavin Logie		Owner	Residential property Dunedin	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Owner	Residential property Wanaka	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Minority shareholder	Southern Hospitality	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	17/07/2020	Director	Golden Block Investments Limited	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

Executive Leadership Team - Register of Interest - current as at 17 September 2021					
Name	Date of Entry	Responsibility (i.e. Chairperson etc)	Declaration of Interests	Nature of Potential Interest	Member's Proposed Management Plan
	17/07/2020	Director	Five Council-owned non-trading companies	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
	14/01/2021		Wife works in a senior financial position in the Finance Department, University of Otago	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
			Son works for Tregaskis Brown who provide consultancy services to Central Government	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Jeanette Wikaira		Trustee	Dunedin North Intermediate School	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Member	Otago Institute of Arts and Science	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Trustee	Hone Tuwhare Charitable Trust	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
Claire Austin	17/09/2021	Owner	Residential property Dunedin	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Member	Institute of Directors	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.
		Fellow	Australia and New Zealand School of Government	No conflict identified.	Seek advice prior to the meeting if actual or perceived conflict of interest arises.

REPORTS

DCC SUBMISSION ON TE KAWE I TE HAEPAPA PARA, TAKING RESPONSIBILITY FOR OUR WASTE

Department: Waste and Environmental Solutions

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1 This report seeks approval of a Dunedin City Council (DCC) submission (Attachment A) on the Ministry for Environment (MfE) consultation, 'Te kawē i te haepapa para, Taking Responsibility for Our Waste' (<https://consult.environment.govt.nz/waste/taking-responsibility-for-our-waste/>).
- 2 The key topics in this consultation are changes to the Waste Minimisation Act (2004), Litter Act (1979), and a proposed new national Waste Strategy.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Council:

- a) **Approves** the DCC submission, with any amendments, to the Ministry for Environment on 'Te kawē i te haepapa para, Taking Responsibility for Our Waste'.
- b) **Authorises** the Chief Executive to make any minor editorial changes to the submission.

BACKGROUND

- 3 The New Zealand Waste Strategy has the purpose of setting out the Government's long-term priorities for waste minimisation and management in New Zealand. The Strategy proposed in this consultation will replace the current New Zealand Waste Strategy (2010).
- 4 The Waste Minimisation Act was introduced in 2004. The purpose of this Act is to encourage waste minimisation and a decrease in waste disposal to:
 - (a) protect the environment from harm; and
 - (b) provide environmental, social, economic, and cultural benefits.
- 5 The Litter Act was introduced in 1979. The purpose of this Act is to provide power and duties for litter control.

- 6 The Ministry for the Environment (MfE) has released a consultation document 'Te kawē i te haepapa para, Taking responsibility for our waste'. The consultation document;
- proposes new legislation that is more comprehensive, to replace the Waste Minimisation Act and the Litter Act, and
 - proposes a new national Waste Strategy to increase our ambition as a country, signal direction, priorities, inspire action across different groups, and guide the use of increased funds generated by the expanded waste disposal levy.
- 7 Consultation closes on 10 December 2021.

DISCUSSION

- 8 The changes proposed within 'Te kawē i te haepapa para, Taking responsibility for our waste' will have implications for the function and operation of Council services. The consultation includes questions about the role of local government in the waste system, the allocation of responsibilities between regional and territorial authorities, the allocation of revenue from the waste levy, licensing of waste operators, new information requirements, monitoring, and enforcement. Duty of care and product stewardship (including deposit return schemes) and national standards for waste, recycling and resource recovery are also proposed.
- 9 The current Waste Minimisation Act and Litter Act are insufficient for central government to implement their new work programmes. New legislation is needed to provide tools to deliver the new Waste Strategy and ensure waste levy funding is used most effectively.
- 10 The current Waste Minimisation Act does not contain any principles to support Te Tiriti o Waitangi or te ao Māori. The DCC submission supports building this into the new legislation and Strategy.
- 11 The proposed new Waste Strategy is future focused to 2050 and divided into three broad stages. The first stage, to 2030, proposes priority areas with actions and targets. The consultation focuses on this first stage. The remaining stages will be consulted on in more detail when the first stage is near completion. MfE describes the later stages broadly, but notes challenges and unknowns will be encountered, so planning will need to adjust accordingly.
- 12 The Strategy will be supported by a series of shorter-term Action Investment Plans (AIPs) which set out immediate priorities. These are proposed to cover 2–3 year periods.
- 13 MfE plans to develop the new Strategy and legislation in close consultation with:
- a) The Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment in light of a broader and separate circular economy strategy.
 - b) A long-term waste infrastructure plan to guide investment in resource recovery infrastructure for Aotearoa New Zealand. The plan is due to be finalised at the same time as the new Waste Strategy.
 - c) The work underway on the Natural and Built Environments Bill, particularly in relation to Te Oranga o te Taiao, which incorporates the Māori world view of environmental wellbeing.

- d) The review of local government.
- 14 Staff have prepared a submission on the proposed changes to the Waste Minimisation Act, Litter Act, and Waste Strategy, and includes input provided by the WasteMINZ Territorial Authority Officers Forum. The DCC submission highlights DCC's position on the following key points:
- a) Supports the new Waste Strategy for Aotearoa New Zealand,
 - b) Supports new legislation to replace the Litter Act and Waste Minimisation Act.
 - c) The submission makes additional points for MfE's consideration in preparing the new Strategy and legislation.

OPTIONS

Option One – Recommended Option – Approve the submission on 'Te kawē i te haepapa para, Taking Responsibility for Our Waste'

Advantages

- Aligns with the vision and targets of Council's Waste Minimisation and Management Plan 2020
- Advocates for a new national Waste Strategy to increase our ambition as a country, signal direction, priorities, inspire action across different groups, and guide the use of increased funds generated by the expanded Waste Disposal Levy
- Advocates for changes to the Waste Minimisation Act and Litter Act to enable the actions contained in the proposed Waste Strategy

Disadvantages

- There are no known disadvantages

Option Two – Do not provide a submission

Advantages

- There are no known advantages

Disadvantages

- Missed opportunity to provide feedback and promote a new national Waste Strategy


NEXT STEPS

- 15 If approved, the submission will be sent to the MfE for consideration by 10 December 2021.
- 16 If the Council does not approve the DCC submission, no further action is required.
- 17 At the conclusion of the consultation period, the MfE will report back to the Minister for the Environment on submissions received and develop final advice.

Signatories

Author:	Leigh McKenzie - Waste Minimisation Officer, Waste and Environmental Solutions
Authoriser:	Chris Henderson - Group Manager Waste and Environmental Solutions Simon Drew - General Manager Infrastructure and Development

Attachments

	Title	Page
A	DRAFT SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED NEW WASTE STRATEGY, AMENDMENTS TO THE WASTE MINIMISATION ACT and the LITTER ACT	25

SUMMARY OF CONSIDERATIONS

Fit with purpose of Local Government

This decision promotes the environmental well-being of communities and enables democratic local decision making and action by, and on behalf of communities.

Fit with strategic framework

	Contributes	Detracts	Not applicable
Social Wellbeing Strategy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Economic Development Strategy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environment Strategy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Arts and Culture Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3 Waters Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Spatial Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Integrated Transport Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks and Recreation Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other strategic projects/policies/plans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Improved management of waste and recycling will contribute to good environmental outcomes in line with Te Ao Tūroa, Dunedin's Environment Strategy, the Waste Minimisation and Management Plan 2020, and DCC's commitment to the Sophia Charter.

Māori Impact Statement

This submission advocates for better opportunities and outcomes for mana whenua in the new Waste Strategy and proposed legislation.

Sustainability

The new Waste Strategy and legislation may have long-term implications for greenhouse gas emissions, waste minimisation and management in Aotearoa New Zealand.

LTP/Annual Plan / Financial Strategy /Infrastructure Strategy

While the decision to submit has no implications on the LTP, the proposed Waste Strategy and legislation may have implications for future waste infrastructure and services.

Financial considerations

There are no known financial implications as a result of this submission.

Significance

The decision is considered to be of low significance when assessed against the Significance and Engagement Policy.

Engagement – external

Staff took part in an external webinar led by WasteMinz to discuss the proposed Strategy and new legislation. No other external engagement has been undertaken for this report.

Engagement - internal

Staff from Waste and Environmental Solutions have had input into the draft submission.

SUMMARY OF CONSIDERATIONS

Risks: Legal / Health and Safety etc.

There are no known risks.

Conflict of Interest

There are no known conflicts of interest.

Community Boards

There are no known implications for Community Boards as a result of this submission.



SUBMISSION: PROPOSED NEW WASTE STRATEGY, AMENDMENTS TO THE WASTE MINIMISATION ACT and the LITTER ACT

Introduction

The Dunedin City Council (DCC) is supportive of a new national Waste Strategy and new legislation to replace the Waste Minimisation Act (2008) and Litter Act (1979). The DCC advocates for improvements to these to reduce Aotearoa New Zealand's high volumes of waste to landfill.

Trends indicate volumes of waste going to landfill will increase unless a more comprehensive approach is taken for minimising waste and reducing high-value materials going to landfill across the country.

The Dunedin City Council (DCC) has adopted a circular economy approach to waste through the DCC Waste Minimisation and Management Plan 2020.

In addition, the DCC has adopted a target of reducing Dunedin city's carbon emissions to net-zero by 2030; this requires reducing waste to landfill as a source of greenhouse gas emissions.

Funding Methods

The DCC notes that the proposed changes in the consultation will require additional funding. Action Investment Plans are proposed, but the source of funding for these is not determined. It is understood that the majority of this consultation is to seek guidance on how the increased waste levy would be best used; however, other methods for change are also proposed in the consultation, such as through investment, incentives, facilitation, encouragement, regulatory changes and financial penalties. There is no clear indication in the consultation document regarding which organisations will be contributing the funding for these elements.

DCC supports using 'polluters pay' methods as the primary funding source, such as the Waste Levy, Product Stewardship Schemes, and extended producer responsibility schemes. This way, the increased costs are equitable to those responsible and avoids costs defaulting onto local businesses and residents. It also incentivises producers to change the way they produce their goods and disincentivises the production of waste.

The DCC notes that it is important that local government determine how their waste levy is used.

Part 1:

Q1: Do you think changes are needed in how Aotearoa New Zealand manages its waste?

The DCC agrees that changes should be made to how Aotearoa New Zealand manages waste.

The DCC supports following the waste hierarchy to address waste and resource consumption. Aotearoa New Zealand should move to an approach that designs waste out before production, designs out waste in production, and rewards resource recovery. The current system is seeing waste being diverted, but volumes to landfill are increasing.

Waste is not a problem to be solved in isolation. More emphasis is needed on changing the economy at the production stage. Widespread product stewardship could be used to encourage designing out waste during production.

Q2: Do you support tackling our waste problems by moving towards a circular economy?

The DCC agrees with moving towards a circular economy. The DCC has adopted a circular economy approach to waste, as reflected in the DCC Waste Minimisation and Management Plan 2020.

Part 2:**Q3: Do you support the proposed vision?**

The DCC supports the proposed vision but recommends the following areas be strengthened:

- The DCC agrees with the vision of taking responsibility for actions attributed to waste and their implications on achieving a circular economy. Adding 'to enhance environmental and social outcomes' to the vision could clarify the overall aim achieved by a circular economy.
- The vision statements reflect Te Ao Māori and include a Te Tiriti approach.
- The vision is missing any reference to reducing reliance on the use of virgin resources. The predominant business model is based on premature obsolescence. Therefore, Aotearoa New Zealand's waste problems should be addressed by also considering overconsumption. The vision statements need to make this more obvious.

Q4: Do you support the six core principles, or would you make changes?

The DCC supports the six core principles. Avoiding unnecessary waste to reduce disposal, pollution, emissions, and continued use of virgin resources are important for moving away from a linear economy. The DCC supports an economy that provides consumers with the choice of durable materials and products that are easy to repair. The DCC supports using natural resources regeneratively and public education programmes that promote behaviour change to achieve a circular economy and a healthier future environment. The DCC suggests investing in training, such as apprenticeships and business mentoring programmes, to encourage sustainable product development and achieve the 'highest' value products and materials as they are circulated in the economy.

It would be helpful if the principles directly referenced the types of legislative levers that could enable these to be turned into action.

The DCC suggests that the definition of 'value' is required to "Keep products and materials in use at their highest value". DCC also suggests further definition of whether value represents the financial, environmental, or social value, or all three.

Q5: Do you support the proposed approach of three broad stages between now and 2050, and the suggested timing and priorities for what to focus on at each stage?

The DCC supports the proposed approach using three broad stages. The DCC's target is to reduce Dunedin city's carbon emissions to net-zero by 2030; this includes reduced waste to landfill as a source of greenhouse gas emissions.

The proposed Action and Investment Plan (AIP) reviews are indicated to be every 2-3 years. Within these 2-3 years periods, the DCC suggests setting timeframes for each target to be achieved. The targets could be used as ambitions and milestones. AIPs should also include clear explanations of where funding for these plans will come from. The DCC suggests focussing on attaining funding from

‘polluters pay’ avenues, such as the waste levy and product stewardship schemes, to incentivise change and keep the cost equitable for residents and small businesses.

The DCC suggests the investment for infrastructure in Stage 1, ‘Catching Up’, should be made available to local councils to develop resource recovery facilities. However, for more extensive resource recovery networks, there needs to be some oversight from central government to strategise and coordinate across districts. For example, investment in rail connections by central government and local government could form part of the solution to reduce the carbon impact of transport; however, the focus should be on regional infrastructure, rather than transporting materials long distances.

It should also be recognised that the lower South Island territorial authorities and waste service providers have logistical challenges for some onshore recycling opportunities, which are otherwise more accessible in the North Island.

The DCC agrees that the following named work programmes should continue:

- phasing out hard to recycle plastics,
- standardising kerbside collections,
- investigating container deposit schemes,
- investing in optical sorting technologies and other equipment through the COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund

However, implementation should first be focussed on Stage 1 ‘Catching Up’ of the strategic journey.

The DCC would support waste incineration that prioritises separating materials that could be effectively recycled or reused and prioritises reducing the environmental impacts of unavoidable residual waste. However, loss of valued resources by incineration, or adverse environmental effects caused by incineration, would not be favoured by DCC.

The DCC supports public education delivered by central government, as well as locally, across all three stages. This will be important to help change mindsets, behaviours, and embed circular economy principles. In addition, local government could support more locally focussed campaigns and education initiatives.

Part 3: Embedding a long-term, strategic approach to reducing waste

Q6: Looking at the priorities and suggested headline actions for stage one, which do you think are the most important?

The DCC agrees the most important priority is Priority One in order to provide clear direction and mechanisms for a transformed waste sector. Of the actions within Priority One, the most important are ‘enacting and implementing the new waste legislation’, and ‘support and resource newly configured enforcement responsibilities.’

The DCC suggests elevating Priority Four to Priority Three. Education is important, but until people have the recovery and recycling options available, they cannot act. Therefore, having Aotearoa New Zealand’s resource recovery and recycling systems working well before rolling out education programmes may achieve better outcomes.

Priority 3, 'Establish Long-term Information and Education Programmes', should be described as 'Developing and Supporting New Behavioural Norms to Avoid or Reduce Waste' in order to emphasise the need to change behaviour through education programmes, not only 'informing'.

Priority 5 should recognise the value of organic waste, systems thinking, and reducing food waste at source across all parts of the food chain. Reducing food waste at source reduces greenhouse gases, saves economic loss, and improves food security¹.

Once food waste is reduced at source, there should be a focus on highlighting the value of residual organic waste to support regenerative agriculture. If more organic waste is diverted, markets will be needed for these products, and better regulations regarding inputs to ensure the resulting product is of good quality (for example, common contaminants such as clopyralid and Per- and Poly- Fluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS) are phased out).

Under Priority 6, producers could be required to offer services that are proven to avoid their product's impacts or prove their products will not cause harmful impacts for people or the environment.

Q7: What else should we be doing in stage one?

The DCC suggests changing Headline Actions in Stage One from 'Consider incentives to manufacture in ways that make recycling easier' to '**Provide** incentives to manufacture in ways that make recycling easier...'. Incentivising companies to improve their products and packaging is an important step in reducing waste generation. Incentivising at the production stage enables Aotearoa New Zealand to get ahead of the waste stream and follow the waste hierarchy.

In addition, a National Environmental Standard for Disposal to Land should be developed and implemented during Stage One.

Product Stewardship is a vital tool to create change. More detail is needed to clarify how this will be designed and used, and what will become a priority product beyond the current six identified products.

Priority Three; this section would benefit from:

- Building waste minimisation into other education sources such as apprenticeships so that it becomes normal within other sectors, such as the construction and demolition sector.
- Including behaviour change alongside educational objectives.

Priority Four;

- The DCC suggests providing or resourcing incubator programmes for businesses to start goods and services fit for a circular economy. This could include targeted categories of funding or embedding circular approaches into business education programmes.
- Where investment in recycling is prioritised, the DCC supports regional infrastructure networks and providing for sharing and reuse programmes.

Priority Five;

- Love Food Hate Waste New Zealand is an Aotearoa New Zealand wide behaviour change programme for household food waste. Currently, 43 Territorial Authority Organisations

¹ NZ Food Waste Champions 12.3 (2020) 'Food Waste Reduction Roadmap', URL: <https://www.nzchampions123.org>.

support this programme financially. Ongoing financial support from MFE using waste levy would enable Love Food Hate Waste New Zealand to make more progress and reach a more diverse audience by partnering with other organisations.

- Use the value of organic waste and its potential to help the agricultural sector transition to a regenerative model by using compost to reduce the need for synthetic fertilizers. However, no one size fits all, so it is important to support decentralised organic waste diversion activities such as home composting, community composting, or subsidised home composting, not only kerbside collections to a large facility.

Q8: What are the barriers or roadblocks to achieving the Stage One actions, and how can we address them?

The barriers to implementing Stage One from the local government perspective are;

- Lack of alignment with the Building Act 2004, including discrepancies in the way the Building Code is enforced locally. For example, Clause G15 of the Building Act, provision for waste storage facilities, is not consistently enforced in all districts when consenting building projects.
- New building materials and alternative methods are rarely taken up, so streamlining approval processes for new materials and methods could enhance this uptake. Currently, approval for new materials and methods is done separately across local government. As a result, providers must go to councils individually for approval to use new methods or materials.
- Waste minimisation plans should be required as part of the consenting process for building and development projects. Equally, food waste minimisation plans should be required for licensing purposes for all restaurants, cafes, and takeaway outlets.
- Reducing litter will require resourcing and enforcement. Resourcing for litter and illegal dumping is recommended to be funded by infringement fees, waste levy, and extended producer responsibility schemes.
- The requirements for evidence to infringe for illegal dumping are currently difficult to enforce. Under current legislation, offenders must be physically caught in the act of illegal dumping for infringement to have a strong chance of success.

Q9: Do the strategic targets listed in Table 1 focus on the right areas?

The DCC agrees that the targets listed in Table 1 focus on the right areas. In addition, the DCC agrees that by targeting waste generated, it will account for reuse, repair, and the new data framework will give insight into the recycling generated.

The DCC suggests including targets or performance indicators for the priority areas because the strategic targets do not provide insight into the specific priority areas and headline actions.

The consultation describes 'Reaching our 2030 targets will mark the end of the first stage of our course. They will indicate that the "catching up" stage is complete as we shift our focus to "pushing ahead".' The DCC notes that Aotearoa New Zealand, or central government, needs to move onto the next stage whether these targets are achieved or not. The next stage will help advance us to a circular economy with less waste generation. However, these targets may not account for the population

undergoing a period of rapid growth or natural disasters. These external factors may distort successful waste outcomes and lead to targets not being met.

The units of measurement for the targets are not specified. It is not clear whether 'per capita' is used for all targets or if they are inclusive or exclusive of hazardous waste.

Businesses produce different amounts of waste. Therefore, the DCC suggests using targets for different commercial sectors such as Construction and Demolition, Hospitality, and Primary Industries.

Other targets that could be considered are:

- Increasing the percentage of the waste stream that is covered by an Extended Producer Responsibility scheme, and
- Increased percentage of packaging that is recyclable within Aotearoa New Zealand, in a standardised system, and
- Decreasing the percentage of packaging waste that is not recyclable or compostable.

Q10: Where in the suggested ranges do you think each target should sit, to strike a good balance between ambition and achievability?

The targets provide a reasonable balance of waste to be reduced in Stage One. Specifying 40% rather than a range for the Public Sector target would indicate the public sector should lead the way.

The categories of responsibility may be difficult to define for measuring these targets. For example, the categories do not make it clear whether the Households category consists of kerbside collections and domestic drop off at waste facilities (such as transfer stations), or if this will be considered as Public Sector because collections and Transfer Stations tend to be led by local government.

It is also unclear whether farms are classed as Businesses or Households or if the Businesses category includes waste service providers. The DCC seeks more clarity for how waste is categorised.

Q11: Do you think the new legislation should require the government to have a waste strategy and periodically update it?

The DCC supports this requirement as it will keep waste as a priority of central government.

Q12: How often should a strategy be reviewed?

The DCC would support reviews of a strategy every six to ten years. This period will allow time for actions to be implemented following the direction set in the strategy. Reviewing the strategy too often would compromise this and risk that the success of interventions could not be implemented and measured before the strategy is reviewed again.

Q13: How strongly should the strategy (and supporting action and investment plans) influence local authority plans and actions?

The DCC suggests the strategy should provide strategic planning by central government that should influence, but not control, local authority plans and actions. Local authorities will ensure locally appropriate solutions and community engagement and influence occur. Outside of nationwide projects, local authorities should have the ability to carry out their initiatives. This is useful for incubating new solutions and different approaches.

Local authorities can be useful ‘testing grounds’ and meet the different needs of their local communities. AIPs should be focussed on directing how central government uses its levy funding for national projects such as a strategic regional, coordinated approach to infrastructure and transporting materials. AIPs should have less influence on how local and regional authorities use their levy funding.

Central government has more ability to influence the waste stream, but local government is responsible for providing the waste and recycling services. Central government can use legislation to control waste at source, and therefore achieve better outcomes for avoiding waste, and making the materials in the waste stream more suitable for diverting.

Q14: What public reporting on waste by central and local government would you like to see?

The DCC suggests it would be helpful to have reporting on composition, source, and quantity of waste. These are the key data that are useful for working out how to minimise waste and what diversion methods to target.

Gaining visibility of data collected from private companies would also be helpful to work out what waste streams to target.

Q15: Do you agree with the suggested functions for central government agencies?

The DCC agrees with the suggested functions for central government agencies. Central government needs to provide the national strategic direction and action investment plans in a transparent manner so that councils can plan accordingly.

Licensing of waste operators should be at the national level to achieve consistency; otherwise, this could become piecemeal and lead to inconsistent outcomes and data. The licensing scheme should fund its auditing and enforcement using licensing fees.

One proposed central government responsibility is “approving significant spending, such as major infrastructure investments”. The DCC would like to understand what defines ‘significant’ and ‘major’ infrastructure investments and how these will be funded.

If central government intends to direct local authorities in how they invest levy funding, the proposed approving role of central government could have unintended consequences for the local government 10 year planning process. For example, achieving significant infrastructure investments in local government is already a complex process (e.g., business cases, procurement plans, budgets, council approval, and public consultations), so adding an additional layer of direction or authorisation from central government could further slow down the ability to approve and implement projects.

Direction from MFE on the use of local government waste levy should consider local government 10-year plans in order to maintain local democracy. However, the DCC acknowledges some oversight is needed to coordinate national projects across districts and rationalise infrastructure networks.

The consultation document proposes the following as headline action: ‘Directly invest in projects and programmes, using funds from the waste levy and potentially other central and local government funders and funding programmes’. If central government directs the use of local government waste levy, this is likely to detract from local governance. It is essential to maintain the integrity of local democracy within the Waste Minimisation Act (or reviewed equivalent). Therefore, any direction from MFE for spending local government waste levy should only apply to additional funds, i.e. not rates or

funding received in good faith and spent under the WMA WMMP guidelines, determined through local democratic processes.

Clarity is needed on what the statement “determining spending priorities for the levy revenue available to central government” means. The DCC suggests that the following should be addressed for this funding:

- the application process is simplified
- improve the process for applicants to find out whether they have been successful
- align funding priorities with the strategic work of MFE
- increase transparency, and foster collaboration between applicants working on similar projects.

Q16: What central agencies would you like to see carry out these functions?

The DCC supports keeping strategic and regulatory functions for waste within central government, rather than a separate entity. This is to keep emphasis clear and close to decision making, rather than losing sight of progress and issues in the sector and having to communicate with multiple organisations to gain consensus and achieve outcomes.

However, since the amount of work in the sector will be expanding and multiplying to achieve the strategy and implement the new legislation, the DCC suggests the creation of a separate entity or potentially building new responsibilities into other entities such as the Environmental Protection Agency. Some functions such as licensing, duty of care, producer responsibility, enforcement, monitoring, data collection, and reporting would fit well together in an entity.

Q17: How should independent, expert advice on waste be provided to the government?

The DCC suggests the best use of time and resources is to implement the strategy and new legislation using the current method for independent expert advice. The DCC suggests an existing independent agency (such as an expansion of the Energy Efficiency and/or Conservation Authorities roles), is the most efficient method to oversee the process for product stewardship designs.

Additionally, WasteMinz is a well-established organisation with existing expertise and relationships. This could be a valuable independent body for providing additional advice to central government.

Q18: How could the legislation provide for Māori participation in the new advice and decision-making systems for waste?

The DCC supports a Māori view, and a Te Tiriti approach including Māori participation in advising and decision-making.

The DCC would support:

- A dedicated position on the third-party Advisory Board to ensure all recommendations align with nga tikanga.
- All legislation, strategies, and AIPs giving effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi, its Principles, and provide for mana whenua knowledge and beliefs.
- Work programmes designed with mana whenua input at the earliest stage to ensure the work aligns with nga tikanga and mana whenua rather than retrospective alterations.

Part 3: Putting responsibilities at the heart of the new system

Q19: What are your views on local government roles in the waste system, in particular the balance between local and regional? Who should be responsible for planning, service delivery, regulatory activities like licensing, and enforcement of the different obligations created?

The DCC recommends planning should be carried out by local government because it is closer to their communities and more conscious of local needs. Service delivery also fits well with local government as, in most cases, it is already providing these services as required by the Local Government Act.

Regulation and enforcement currently sit with regional councils. The DCC supports that this continues. Regional councils already work with the community on water and land practices, so waste could be further incorporated into their regulatory function. The relationships are already established, so this provides a natural confluence for this responsibility. Currently, there is a disparity in how this is carried out regionally. This needs to be addressed through national standards and resourcing to improve standards, regulations, monitoring, and enforcement.

The significant disparity between regions in Regional Waste Plans should be addressed by central government by setting national minimum standards for land disposal practices and setting permitted and non-permitted activities.

Q20: Do you see benefit in adapting the United Kingdom's duty of care model for Aotearoa New Zealand's waste legislation, supported by appropriate offences and penalties?

The DCC agrees that the duty of care model will benefit Aotearoa New Zealand's waste legislation.

Enforcing offences and penalties will be helpful, but this needs adequate resourcing. It is difficult to enforce existing penalties and offences due to a lack of resourcing.

The concept of duty of care already exists in indigenous concepts such as kaitiakitanga. This could be used to develop a duty of care model that reflects the collective nature of many ethnic groups here, including Māori.

Kaitiakitanga, the duty of care, should also include producers, importers, exporters, and retailers. They should also be responsible for designing out waste, swapping non-recyclable or non-reusable packaging for recyclable or reusable packaging, ensuring only durable products are sold, and that repair is available for all products sold.

Duty of care could also require waste collectors to refuse to transport contaminated recycling. For example, leaving it with the householder alongside educational material (as done in some districts already).

Q21: Do you support strengthening obligations around litter by creating an individual 'duty of care' to dispose of waste appropriately?

The DCC supports creating an individual duty of care that strengthens the obligation of basic litter disposal. This should include improved education, enforcement, and more significant penalties.

Q22: What else could we do so that litter is taken more seriously as a form of pollution?

The DCC supports continuing to deliver education about the effects of littering so that it becomes entrenched in everyday life. An ongoing educational programme to discourage littering could be introduced into early learning centre programmes, schools, and the wider media. Education

programmes should include impacts on the environment, biodiversity, soil, water and air pollution. It should include the social and environmental impacts of litter and the consequences should you be caught littering. The DCC suggests funding for Keep New Zealand Beautiful to be included in an AIP.

Q23: Do you support a nationwide licensing regime for the waste sector?

The DCC supports a nationwide licensing regime for the waste sector. This should be mandatory and reinforce to producers, businesses, waste collectors and processing facility operators to share responsibility to reduce unnecessary waste going to landfill.

Licensing should require data to be provided to a regulatory licensing entity, independent of local authorities.

Relinquishing a licence (and publicly notifying at the point of any prosecution) due to ill practices will help deter non-compliance.

The licensing scheme will place extra demands on local businesses. The DCC supports the nationwide licensing regime; however, regard needs to be given to how the operators will be impacted by this. Support for businesses will need to be provided, e.g. access to funding for the transition, a business support team to give advice, or business mentors.

Q24: Should the new legislation include a power to require a tracing system to be developed for some or all types of waste?

The DCC supports a tracing system for either some or all waste categories, including products that are in product stewardship schemes.

The tracing system should be administered at the central government level where comprehensive records of the type and number of disposal facilities are kept.

By requiring a tracing system, the entity determined to be responsible through the proposed changes, can collect waste data and regulate non-compliant activity.

Q25: What aspects of the proposal for regulating the waste sector could be extended to apply to hazardous waste?

The DCC supports extending regulation for the waste sector through new waste legislation, combined with the reform of the RMA, HSNO Act and Imports and Exports (Restrictions) Act. This should capture private and industrial use.

Part 3: Improving legislative support for product stewardship schemes

Q26: Should the new legislation keep an option for accreditation for voluntary product stewardship schemes?

The DCC supports mandatory product stewardship schemes. There has been little uptake from industry for voluntary product stewardship schemes. Mandating producer responsibility to see their product through whole of life will be one of the critical elements for developing a circular economy model. The process for becoming part of a mandatory scheme should be simple.

Q27: How could the accreditation process for new product stewardship schemes be strengthened?

The DCC recommends the accreditation process should include categories of resources for data collection. Accreditation should also apply to imported products, such as electronic equipment manufactured overseas.

There is currently a funding gap between a report being submitted to the MFE with the proposed product stewardship scheme, and the scheme being accepted and accredited. While funding is provided (through the Waste Minimisation Fund) for the co-design of schemes once it has been submitted, more work may be required to get it to the point where it can be accredited.

Q28: How else could we improve the regulatory framework for product stewardship?

The DCC recommends studying successful overseas models of mandatory product stewardship schemes for imported and locally manufactured products. In addition, the DCC suggests including large overseas manufacturers who already sell into mandatory product stewardship markets to be part of the development.

A straightforward accreditation process should be created which involves industry in all development stages, and uses an independent agency to review.

An independent agency is needed to oversee Product Stewardship, with a legislated compliance regime that ensures scheme outcomes and targets are set, delivered, and consistently improved upon. This agency must be adequately resourced to work proactively across Ministries and manage a growing work programme.

The updated Waste Minimisation Act should establish the agency's mandate and key responsibilities, including:

- advancing products for priority product status;
- leading and overseeing inclusive scheme design processes;
- setting ambitious, measurable reduction targets with regular, transparent reporting requirements, and monitoring and reviewing accredited schemes for compliance;
- advocating for the waste hierarchy and public interest in all schemes; and
- recommending new regulatory powers to achieve ambitious waste reduction outcomes.

The principles of product stewardship should be revisited with an emphasis on the waste hierarchy built into legislation. Currently, only the proposed Battery Industry Group and e-waste schemes have a focus on repair and reuse. The latter is because of the work of the voluntary Community Energy Network advocating for this. Other proposed regulated schemes focus on recycling only. There are examples where existing schemes don't align with a circular economy approach (such as, burning tyres for cement).

Part 3: Enhancing regulatory tools to encourage change

Q29: What improvements could be made to the existing regulatory powers under section 23 of the Waste Minimisation Act 2008?

The DCC recommends Section 23 of the WMA should include aspects aligned with other regulatory guidance such as the RMA, Consumer Guarantees Act 1993, and Imports and Exports (Regs) Act 1988. This will likely require changes to these Acts.

The DCC suggests introducing changes for specific carbon-emitting waste streams. For example, restricting commercial food waste from entering landfill would help reduce the overproduction of food and provide for better, more efficient distribution.

The DCC also recommends identifying items that are hard to reuse or recycle, that are particularly harmful to the environment, and placing a ban on them from entering Aotearoa New Zealand. A mandated product labelling scheme to include information on how it can be reused or recycled in Aotearoa New Zealand should be considered.

A National Environmental Standard for Disposal to Land (or an equivalent) should be required under the Resource Management Act's replacement. The current resource consenting of land disposal does not promote investment in alternatives to land disposal. The DCC suggests that minimum standards should be developed for farm or rural waste management practices.

The DCC supports a standardised recycling system across Aotearoa New Zealand which includes rural areas. Infrastructure planning should reflect a national approach and not be confined to main centres.

Other suggestions that the DCC would like to see considered include:

- mandating reduction targets for particular products, chemical additives, and materials;
- eco-modulating fees; This is where producers (typically brands/manufacturers, importers, and distributors/retailers) are financially responsible for managing the end-of-life of products and packaging. Using a modulated approach, the fees paid by the producer will vary according to specific criteria relating to aspects of their products' environmental performance. So, the idea is that the more 'environmentally-friendly' products and packaging are charged at a lower rate than those less 'environmentally friendly' to incentivise eco-design². A non-modulated approach means a flat fee is applied to all products, leaving no incentive for producers to improve the sustainability of their products.
- tools to incentivise the service/sharing economy; and
- bans on single-use applications of specified products, regardless of material composition.
- Providing a consumer choice for responsible disposal at point of purchase, which is transparent on what happens to the product after its use.

Q30: What new regulatory powers for products and materials would be useful to help Aotearoa move towards a circular economy?

The DCC would support new regulatory powers that enforce and prohibit problematic, environmentally harmful products. New regulatory powers that provide for data collection will also be important. Data from the following areas would be helpful to improve waste minimisation objectives:

- High-level quarterly statistics to track progress.
- Product stewardship schemes
- Charities (op shops), food rescue, and repair shops
- Hazardous waste volumes, including abandoned materials
- Unknown waste streams such as silage wrap and shrink wrap
- Reports on retail imports and volumes of returned packaging to retailers
- Rural waste management such as the use of, or consenting, for farm fills

Q31: Would you like to see a right to return packaging to the relevant business?

² Eunomia (2020) 'What are Modulated Fees and How Do They Work?', URL: <https://www.eunomia.co.uk/modulated-fees-and-how-they-work/>

The DCC would support the right to return packaging to the relevant businesses. The option should be well advertised, and information provided at the time of purchase. Returning packaging should not be an additional cost to the purchaser at the item end-of-life, but rather a cost to the producer, covered at the time of purchase. The ability for packaging to be recyclable within Aotearoa New Zealand could also be included in this scheme.

Producers should provide information to the consumer describing how the packaging is reused or recycled, assuring the purchaser that it will not be landfilled. In addition, a review by product manufacturers to remove unnecessary packaging should be encouraged.

The packaging returned for reuse and recycling should be noted for reporting data. This should include all types of packaging but may need to be a staged approach as opportunities for reuse or recycling evolve.

Clarity is needed on whether a product stewardship scheme would cover the right to return single use packaging. No subsidies from waste levy should be offered to single use packaging.

A comprehensive Container Return Scheme should be implemented for beverage containers.

Central government needs to consider the costs that implementing right to return packaging will have for businesses. The DCC requests that support for businesses is built into the approach. This could consist of access to mentors, funding, and business support advice.

There is potential for the right to return packaging to be interpreted differently across the country (by businesses and across local authorities). Therefore, the DCC suggests that this is led by central government by, for example, adding a training function to the MFE enforcement and compliance team.

Q32: Would you like to see more legal requirements to support products lasting longer and being able to be repaired?

The DCC supports more legal requirements for durable products which are easy to repair.

The DCC suggests that information about product eco-design and producer responsibility should be available for the consumer to consider before purchasing.

Any new legal requirements introduced would need to consider addressing the Consumer Guarantees Act, and tighten copyright law so that repairers are not sued for breach of IP while making repairs.

Any legal requirements should also require that items sold in Aotearoa New Zealand are durable and repairable. This could include electronic products and other items such as furniture items which are increasingly made from cheap, less durable materials which cannot be repaired. (i.e. MDF, and particle board).

This change will likely increase costs for products, and have significant impacts on consumers. The legal requirements will need to be phased in, and account for social equity to avoid impacting those who are financially disadvantaged.

Q33: Is there a need to strengthen and make better use of import and export controls to support waste minimisation and circular economy goals? For example, should we look at ways to prohibit exports of materials like low-value plastics?

The DCC supports strengthening import and export controls. Imported goods should comply with their relevant mandatory product stewardship schemes.

The DCC supports importing and exporting durable products that have a defined life expectancy, are easy to repair, and have parts readily available. The DCC suggests products should be accompanied by straightforward, jargon-free warranties from the manufacturer.

The DCC suggests packaging should be required to be easy to reuse or be able to be recycled in New Zealand. It should not require special handling or processing to do so.

A permit system could be suitable for compostable packaging. For example, permits could be given for compostable packaging with no PFAs (per and poly-fluoroalkyl). This could also permit the use of compostable materials for specific items that carry food waste to a composter in a closed-loop system.

Q34: What types of activities should potentially be subject to a levy? Should the levy be able to be imposed on final disposal activities other than landfills (such as waste to energy)?

The DCC agrees the waste levy should apply to all final disposal activities, including incineration and waste to energy. This means that waste minimisation is always incentivised and doesn't lead to disposal to landfill, or disposal by other methods. Applying the levy to all linear disposal methods would mean they would be equally disincentivised. This would expand on making other alternatives a more attractive option. The levy should be applied to anything creating linear waste.

Q35: What factors should be considered when setting levy rates?

The DCC suggests setting the waste levy rates to reflect the changing costs of corresponding alternatives. For example, increases in freight costs due to increasing carbon costs. This increase in cost will make recycling and other diversion options more expensive. Therefore, the waste levy needs to change proportionately to maintain its effectiveness.

Q36: How could the rules on collection and payment of the waste levy be improved?

The DCC agrees that stockpiling should become a regulated activity. An approving process should be required so that quantities, composition, and time periods can be managed. A minimum threshold would need to be instated, such as stockpiling for over six months.

The DCC suggests that the reuse of materials on-site at disposal facilities should not be subject to the waste levy. Inert waste for daily cover and structural bunds in landfills needs to be exempt from the waste levy. This activity avoids new materials being purchased and used for these purposes. Requiring exemptions for this activity would introduce an extra process, seeking approval for an activity that can reduce cost, encourages reuse of materials, and avoid new resources being consumed in their place. However, the DCC supports exemptions and waivers for other activities in exceptional circumstances, particularly in aid of civil emergencies and adapting to climate risks.

Q37: What should waste levy revenue be able to be spent on?

The DCC supports the current allowances for the use of waste levy to be continued. In addition to current allowances, using waste levy for enforcement will lead to better waste outcomes.

The waste levy could also be used to support organisations that are well-positioned to provide access to diversion and waste services to those who are financially disadvantaged or have insufficient access to transport.

Territorial authorities could also be incentivised to offer waste minimisation grants at the local government level for commercial and community organisations. The incentive could be structured by ring-fencing a percentage of waste levy for waste minimisation grants.

Waste levy should continue to be able to be used to fund new positions if workloads increase due to new legislative requirements.

The DCC suggests that long-term partnerships should be funded on an ongoing basis to support behaviour change (e.g. Love Food Hate Waste New Zealand, and Keep New Zealand Beautiful), circular activities (such as repair cafes), and work with tangata whenua (e.g. Para Kore), and community-led waste diversion services.

Waste levy should also be used to support businesses transition to the new requirements proposed in this consultation.

Q38: How should revenue from the waste levy be allocated to best reflect the roles and responsibilities of the different layers of government in relation to waste, and to maximise effectiveness?

Continuing the current approach for allocating waste levy across levels of government is supported by the DCC.

Providing regional authorities with waste levy funding to use for enforcement and monitoring waste disposal facilities and rural farming practices would also enhance outcomes at the regional and district level.

The entity responsible for licensing and data collection from waste operators will also need to be funded and resourced at the central government level. The waste levy and licensing system could be used to resource this as well.

Q39: How should waste levy revenue be allocated between territorial authorities?

The DCC suggests waste levy should be allocated based on the quantity of waste to landfill and the population of usual residents. By combining these, a better, proportionate allocation will be achieved. Where territorial authorities export waste from their district to another district, the licensing data from waste operators can be used to work out how much waste is being generated by that district. If some districts are still disadvantaged, easy access to waste levy from the MFE for special projects could be a way to correct this.

Q40: Which elements of compliance, monitoring and enforcement should be the responsibility of which parts of government (central government, regional councils, territorial authorities) under new waste legislation?

The DCC agrees that central government, through an independent agency, is best placed to cover compliance of the waste levy, product bans, and regulated product stewardship schemes.

Regional authorities need to be resourced and report on regulating, enforcement, and monitoring of rural waste practices, and different classes of disposal facilities. For example, farm fills and burning of waste are currently permitted activities in some regions. Introducing national minimum land disposal standards would be useful for addressing regional disparities and banning these activities.

The DCC agrees that territorial and regional authorities are best placed to maintain working relationships, carry out audits, and gather and act on information received.

The DCC agrees that compliance checks for several Acts could be carried out at the same time. For example, compliance checks with construction waste minimisation plans could be undertaken alongside building compliance inspections.

Q41: The need for enforcement work will increase under the new legislation. How should it be funded?

The DCC suggests additional resources for enforcement should be funded by a combination of the licensing system, waste levy, product stewardship fees from imported and exported goods, and enforcement penalties. For example, enforcement of regulations for the construction and demolition sector could be funded from Building Consent charges. This could include a separate waste compliance fee for transparency. Enforcement for waste operators should be funded through the associated licensing fees.

Q42: What expanded investigation powers, offences and penalties should be included in new waste legislation?

The DCC supports having the ability to infringe for litter on private property when it reaches the point of being an environmental hazard. Monitoring and enforcement for this could be tied to the legislated 'duty of care'. Infringing could also be aided by minimum standards for land disposal and storage, and the ability for authorities to monitor and enforce these standards.

Uncontrolled and unregulated activities such as farm fills and burning of inorganic waste should also be controlled uniformly across all regions. Legislating offences and penalties for these activities will provide the power to enforce appropriate penalties.

Q43: What regulatory or other changes would help better manage inappropriate disposal of materials (that is, littering and fly-tipping)?

Easing access to recycling and disposal services would help improve how materials are disposed of. Access to these services could be improved by supporting organisations that serve clients who find waste disposal costs are financial barriers and have limited transport.

The DCC also suggests considering an option to reduce rubbish disposal costs for households who are financially disadvantaged. The DCC recommends providing education on the environmental impacts of littering and inappropriate disposal methods to all areas of society.

The DCC also notes the 'Recommendations for standardisation of kerbside collections in Aotearoa' report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment (May 2020), which states that rates funded refuse collections, or the use of bylaws to limit the provision of 240-litre wheelie bins by the private sector, is shown to reduce residual rubbish and reduce contamination in recycling.

WARRANT CARDS 2020/21

Department: Community Services

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1 At its meeting on 1 November 2004 the Council resolved to delegate the power to issue warrants to the Chief Executive Officer.
- 2 The Council subsequently requested that an annual report of warrants issued be provided to the Council for its information. This report advises the Council of warrants that have been issued by the Chief Executive Officer in the 12-month period ending 31 October 2021.
- 3 As this report is for administrative and statutory reporting purposes, a summary of considerations and options is not required.

RECOMMENDATIONS


That the Council:

- a) **Notes** the Warrant Cards 2020/21 report for the 12 month period ending 31 October 2021.

Signatories

Authoriser:	Clare Sullivan - Manager Governance
-------------	-------------------------------------

Attachments

	Title	Page
A	Warrants Issued/Rescinded - 1 November 2020 to 31 October 2021	42

WARRANT CARDS ISSUED BETWEEN 1 NOVEMBER 2020 AND 31 OCTOBER 2021

NAME	WARRANTED POSITION	DEPARTMENT	WARRANTS ISSUED*	RESCINDED WARRANT	DATE
Michael Bishop	Noise Control Officer/Skateboarding Enforcement/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	07/12/2020
Sione Palu	Noise Control Officer/Skateboarding Enforcement/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	07/12/2020
Nick Goodwin	Graduate Engineer	Transport		✓	07/12/2020
Colin Hillerby	Senior Parking Officer	Parking		✓	07/12/2020
Bevan Mears	Parking Officer	Parking		✓	07/12/2020
Brian Williams	Parking Officer	Parking		✓	07/12/2020
Neil Smith	Parking Officer	Parking		✓	07/12/2020
Tony Mole	Parking Officer	Parking		✓	07/12/2020
Derek Crawford	Water Treatment Team Leader	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Stewart Still	Snr Treatment Process Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Ian Hamilton	Snr Treatment Process Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Ken Shaw	Snr Treatment Process Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Caitlin Robertson	Treatment Process Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Graeme Turnbull	Treatment Process Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Gregory Holmes	Treatment Process Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Kevin Bryson	Treatment Quality Technician – Water	3 Waters		✓	07/12/2020
Peter Hanlin	Team Leader – Compliance Animal and Parking Services	Compliance		✓	11/12/2020
Laura Mulder	Planning Technician Resource Consents	Planning	✓		11/12/2020
Ros MacGill	Manager Compliance Solutions	Animal Control	✓		11/12/2020
Peter Hanlin	Team Leader Animal Services	Animal Control	✓		11/12/2020
Callie Perenara	Quality Assurance Officer	Transport	✓		11/12/2020
Nick Goodwin	Graduate Engineer	Transport	✓		11/12/2020
Noah Hensley	Water Supply Process Scientist	3 Waters	✓		11/12/2020
Carlo Bell	Team Leader - Compliance Environmental Health and Alcohol Licensing	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Yan Tong Jiang	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Lyn Pope	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021

* Where warrants were reissued to existing staff members then their previous warrants were contemporaneously rescinded.

NAME	WARRANTED POSITION	DEPARTMENT	WARRANTS ISSUED*	RESCINDED WARRANT	DATE
Karen Heathman	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Alison Blair	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Tanya Morrison	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Monique Maree Tui Goodhew	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Russleigh Whittaker	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Shayne Illingworth	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Michael Bishop	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Morgan Ryder	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Tyler Gemmel	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Damien Black	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Ashfaul H Nazir	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Regan Larkin	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Hayden Corbett	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Hayden Woodmancy	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Symon Bell	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Jono Atfield	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Sione Palu	Noise Control Officers/Mobile Trading Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Ros MacGill	Manager Compliance Solutions	Environmental Health		✓	01/01/2021
Carlo Bell	Team Leader - Compliance Environmental Health and Alcohol Licensing	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Yan Tong Jiang	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Lyn Pope	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Karen Heathman	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Alison Blair	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Tanya Morrison	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Monique Maree Tui Goodhew	Environmental Health Officer/Liquor Licensing Inspector	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Russleigh Whittaker	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Shayne Illingworth	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Morgan Ryder	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021

NAME	WARRANTED POSITION	DEPARTMENT	WARRANTS ISSUED*	RESCINDED WARRANT	DATE
Tyler Gemmel	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Damien Black	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Ashfaqul H Nazir	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Regan Larkin	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Hayden Corbett	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Hayden Woodmancy	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Symon Bell	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Jonathan Atfield	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Ros MacGill	Manager Compliance Solutions	Environmental Health	✓		01/01/2021
Elisabeth Boyle	Planner	Planning	✓		20/01/2021
Kala Wati	Planner	Planning	✓		20/01/2021
Elizabeth Schonwald	Planner	Planning	✓		20/01/2021
Greg Watt	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Simon Bell	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Stacey Quigley	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Jane Evelyn Libeau	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Martin Wilde	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Jayin Hutchings	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Amanda Holland	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Nicholas Harper	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Peter Jones	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	27/01/2021
Michael Tannock	Network Team Leader	Transport		✓	27/01/2021
Suzanne Donaldson	Network Technical Officer	Transport		✓	27/01/2021
Nick Goodwin	Graduate Engineer Transport	Transport		✓	27/01/2021
Aaron van der Veen	Asset Supervisor	Transport		✓	27/01/2021
Callie Perenara	Quality Assurance Officer	Transport		✓	27/01/2021
Sebastian Demarcy	Asset Supervisor	Transport		✓	27/01/2021
Carol Gray	Team Leader Parking Services	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Greg Watt	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021

NAME	WARRANTED POSITION	DEPARTMENT	WARRANTS ISSUED*	RESCINDED WARRANT	DATE
Simon Bell	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Stacey Quigley	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Jane Evelyn Libeau	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Martin Wilde	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Jayin Hutchings	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Amanda Holland	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Nicholas Harper	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Peter Jones	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		27/01/2021
Michael Tannock	Network Team Leader	Transport	✓		27/01/2021
Suzanne Donaldson	Network Technical Officer	Transport	✓		27/01/2021
Nick Goodwin	Graduate Engineer Transport	Transport	✓		27/01/2021
Aaron van der Veen	Asset Supervisor	Transport	✓		27/01/2021
Callie Perenara	Quality Assurance Officer	Transport	✓		27/01/2021
Sebastian Demarcy	Asset Supervisor	Transport	✓		27/01/2021
Kala Wati	Planner	Planning		✓	03/02/2021
Leslie David Bell	Senior Building Consent Officer	Building Services		✓	03/02/2021
Craig Antony McCormack	Building Consent Officer	Building Services	✓		03/02/2021
Chris Jones	Contract Delivery Manager	3 Waters		✓	08/04/2021
Stacey Quigley	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	08/04/2021
Peter Jones	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	08/04/2021
Connor Marner	Planner	Planning		✓	08/04/2021
Emma Christmas	Planner Policy	Planning		✓	08/04/2021
Daryn John Kennedy	Senior Building Inspector	Building Services	✓		09/04/2021
Campbell Brewerton	Noise Control Officers/Trading in Public Place Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		09/04/2021
Carol Gray	Team Leader Parking Services	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Greg Watt	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Simon Bell	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Stacey Quigley	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Jane Evelyn Libeau	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021

NAME	WARRANTED POSITION	DEPARTMENT	WARRANTS ISSUED*	RESCINDED WARRANT	DATE
Martin Wilde	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Jayin Hutchings	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Amanda Holland	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Nicholas Harper	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
Peter Jones	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	25/06/2021
John Robert Peace	Senior Building Inspector	Building Services		✓	25/06/2021
Amy Young	Planner	Planning		✓	25/06/2021
Jacinda Baker	Planner	Planning		✓	25/06/2021
Darren Franklyn Brown	Building Inspector	Building Services	✓		25/06/2021
Nathan Gunn	Noise Control Officer/Trading in Public Places Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		25/06/2021
Georgia Burborough	Planner	Planning	✓		25/06/2021
Callum Riddle	Planner	Planning	✓		25/06/2021
Nic Jepson	Senior Planner and HSNO Enforcement Officer	Planning	✓		25/06/2021
Babin Ghimire	Network Asset Supervisor	Transport	✓		25/06/2021
Antonie De Bruin	Contracts Manager	Waste and Environmental Solutions	✓		25/06/2021
Nicole Foote	Waste Minimisation and Strategy Officer	Waste and Environmental Solutions	✓		25/06/2021
Carol Gray	Team Leader, Parking Services	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Greg Watt	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Simon Bell	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Jane Evelyn Libeau	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Amanda Holland	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Nicholas Harper	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Melissa Perry	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Awhina Tata	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Mario Sopp	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		25/06/2021
Andrew Francis Roberts	Building Consent Officer	Building Services	✓		19/07/2021
Peter Hughes	Senior Contract Supervisor	Transport		✓	25/06/2021
Peter Hughes	Network Asset Supervisor	Transport	✓		25/06/2021
Jane Libeau	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	08/07/2021

NAME	WARRANTED POSITION	DEPARTMENT	WARRANTS ISSUED*	RESCINDED WARRANT	DATE
Stacey Quigley	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	08/07/2021
Jay Hutchings	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement		✓	08/07/2021
Daniel Ryder	Noise Control Officer/Trading in Public Places Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		13/07/2021
Daniel Camp	Noise Control Officer/Trading in Public Places Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		13/07/2021
Daryn John Kennedy	Senior Building Inspector	Building Services	✓		29/07/2021
Dan Turner	Monitoring Enforcement Officer	Resource Consents	✓		04/08/2021
Sampath Rajakaurna	Parking Officer	Parking Enforcement	✓		13/08/2021
Peter Rowe	Noise Control Officer/Trading in Public Places Enforcement Officer	Environmental Health	✓		13/08/2021
Tanya Hopewell	Animal Control Officer	Regulatory Services		✓	13/09/2021
Rebecca Cameron	Animal Control Officer	Regulatory Services	✓		13/09/2021
Simon Hood	Project Co-ordinator	3 Waters	✓		16/09/2021
Elizabeth Lightbourne	Planner (Policy)	City Development		✓	04/10/2021
Elizabeth Lightbourne	Planner	Resource Consents	✓		04/10/2021
Bede Morrissey	Planner (Policy)	City Development	✓		04/10/2021
Andrea Farminer	Heritage Advisor	City Development		✓	06/10/2021
Quentin Wallace Grant Ransby	Building Consent Officer	Building Services		✓	13/10/2021
Andrew Francis Roberts	Compliance Officer	Building Services		✓	18/10/2021
Alana Margaret Reid	Building Consent Officer	Regulatory Services		✓	22/10/2021
Galina Reinhardt	Development Contributions Officer	Resource Consents		✓	26/10/2021
Galina Reinhardt	Development Contributions Officer	Resource Consents	✓		26/10/2021
Jeremy Grey	Planner	Resource Consents		✓	27/10/2021
Jeremy Grey	Senior Planner	Resource Consents	✓		27/10/2021

NOTICE OF MOTION - PUBLIC TRANSPORT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1 In accordance with Standing Order 26.1, the following Notice of Motion has been received from Cr Jules Radich for inclusion on the agenda for the meeting being held on Tuesday, 7 December 2021:

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Council:

- a) **Requests** a meeting between the ORC and DCC Councillors to discuss working together on public transport issues, ideas and improvements;
- b) **Directs** the DCC CEO to arrange the meeting as early in 2022 as possible.

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

That the Council excludes the public from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting (pursuant to the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987) namely:

General subject of the matter to be considered	Reasons for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution	Reason for Confidentiality
C1 Property Option - Strategic Hold Opportunity	<p>S7(2)(b)(ii) The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information.</p> <p>S7(2)(h) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities.</p> <p>S7(2)(i) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).</p>	S48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.	

<p>C2 Property Option - Industrial Site</p>	<p>S7(2)(b)(ii) The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information.</p> <p>S7(2)(h) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities.</p> <p>S7(2)(i) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).</p>	<p>S48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</p>	
<p>C3 Property Purchase</p>	<p>S7(2)(g) The withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege.</p> <p>S7(2)(i) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or</p>	<p>S48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</p>	

	disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).		
--	--	--	--

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act, or Section 6 or Section 7 or Section 9 of the Official Information Act 1982, as the case may require, which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as shown above after each item.